	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE				FILED CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COU DISTRICT OF DELAWARE		
SWIMC, INC. a corporation,)			2006 MAR 23	PM I2: 23	
	Plaintiff,)			•		
v.)	Case No.	0.0	1 9 4		
FINE PAINTS OF EU a corporation,	JROPE, INC.)					
	Defendant.)					

COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN, UNFAIR COMPETITION, AND DILUTION

PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

- 1. Plaintiff, SWIMC, Inc., is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Wilmington, Delaware ("SWIMC").
- 2. Defendant, Fine Paints of Europe, Inc., on information and belief, is a New York corporation with its principal place of business in Woodstock, Vermont.
- Trademark Laws of the United States (15 U.S.C. §§ 1051, et seq.), jurisdiction being conferred in accordance with 15 U.S.C. § 1121 and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a); (b) this action includes claims of unfair competition joined with a substantial and related claim arising under the Trademark Laws of the United States, jurisdiction being conferred in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1338(b); (c) this is a civil action between citizens of different states in which the value of the amount in controversy exceeds seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000.00), exclusive of interest and costs, jurisdiction being conferred in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1332; and (d) this action includes claims that are so related to claims within such original jurisdiction that they form part of the

same case or controversy, supplemental jurisdiction being conferred in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

4. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

FACTS

- 5. For many years, and long prior to the acts of defendant complained of herein, SWIMC, its licensees and its predecessors-in-interest have been engaged in the manufacture, distribution, advertising and sale of paint and paint-related products in commerce throughout the United States under certain trademarks involving the likeness of a "stylized Dutch Boy" and/or the words "Dutch Boy" (the "DUTCHBOY trademarks").
- 6. SWIMC owns federal registrations for its DUTCHBOY marks, including the following:

Mark	Appl/Reg. No.	Filing/Reg. Date	Goods/Services
	383,644	12/17/40	Paint and varnish brushes
Derich Bog	383,848	12/24/40	Paint and varnish brushes
Dutch Boy	1,138,132	07/29/80	Paints
DUTCH BOY	1,138,549	08/12/80	Paints

Mark	Appl/Reg. No	. Filing/Reg. 1	Date Goods/Services
	1,161,535	07/21/81	Paints
	-		
Ditch Boy	1,566,662	11/21/89	Clear and pigmented coatings in the nature of paint
SATAR PRIEED	1,668,160	12/17/91	Clear and pigmented coatings used in the nature of paint
TE HOOD	1,798,998	10/19/93	Clear and pigmented coatings used in the nature of paint
Dutch Boy	1,990,177	07/30/96	Paper goods and printed matter, namely, pens; Fabrics, namely sports towels; Clothing, namely jackets, shirts and caps
Dutch Boy	1,995,725	08/20/96	Paper goods and printed matter, namely, pens; Fabrics, namely sports towels; Clothing, namely jackets, shirts and caps; Toys and playthings, namely golf balls, golf kits comprised of ball markers, tees and bag
OUTCH BOY	2,524,224	01/01/02	tags and flying discs Paint color cards
Dutch Boy	2,529,143	01/15/02	Paint color cards

Mark - E-15 E-15	Appl/Reg. No.	Filing/Reg. Date	Goods/Services
	2,535,631	02/05/02	Paint color cards
Dutch Boy			
ų.			
			<u> </u>

- 7. All of the above-referenced registrations are valid and subsisting. Registration Nos. 383,644, 383,848, 1,138,132, 1,138,549, 1,161,535, 1,566,662, 1,668,160, 1,798,998, 1,990,177, and 1,995,725 are incontestable in accordance with 15 U.S.C. §§ 1064 and 1115(b), and are conclusive evidence of the exclusive right to use the DUTCHBOY trademarks in connection with the registered goods. 15 U.S.C. § 1115(b).
- 8. SWIMC, its licensees and its predecessors-in-interest have sold many millions of dollars of worth of paint and paint-related products under its DUTCHBOY marks in the United States and has spent substantial sums in advertising its products under the DUTCHBOY marks, including advertising on the Internet web site of one of its licensees located at www.dutchboy.com.
- 9. As a result of such extensive sales and advertising, the DUTCHBOY marks have become famous in the United States.
- 10. As a result of such extensive sales and advertising, the DUTCHBOY marks represent extraordinarily valuable goodwill in the United States.

Defendant's Business and Infringing Activities

- 11. Defendant is engaged in the sale of paint and paint-related products.
- 12. Upon information and belief, on or around June 2002, defendant began the sale and advertising of paints under the trademark DUTCHLAC.
- 13. Defendant has applied to register the following trademark with the United States

 Patent and Trademark Office:

Trademark	Appl. No.	Filing Date	Goods/Services
DUTCHLAC	76/432,624	July 18, 2002	Interior and exterior
			enamel paint

- 14. On May 28, 2003, SWIMC instituted an opposition proceeding against defendant's Application Serial No. 76/432,624 for DUTCHLAC (Opposition No. 91/156,652), and Trademark Trial and Appeal Board has suspended this proceeding through April 23, 2006.
- 15. Defendant prominently features the DUTCHLAC mark in its product packaging, advertising and promotional materials, including on its Internet web site located at www.finepaintsofeurope.com on which defendant advertises and promotes its paint and paint-related products.
- 16. On information and belief, defendant began use of the DUTCHLAC name and mark with full knowledge of SWIMC's extensive use of its DUTCHBOY mark and the fame of the mark.
 - 17. Defendant's aforesaid activities are without the consent of SWIMC.

COUNT I

(INFRINGEMENT OF FEDERALLY REGISTERED TRADEMARKS)

- 18. SWIMC re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 17, as if fully set forth herein.
- 19. Defendant's acts are likely to cause confusion, mistake or deception as to the source or origin of defendant's products in that purchasers and others are likely to believe that defendant or defendant's products are sponsored by, or connected or affiliated with SWIMC or the DUTCHBOY products.
- 20. Defendant's acts constitute trademark infringement in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1114.

21. Defendant's acts greatly and irreparably damage SWIMC, and will continue to damage SWIMC unless restrained by this Court; therefore, SWIMC is without an adequate remedy at law.

COUNT II

(FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN AND UNFAIR COMPETITION)

- 22. SWIMC re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 17 as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. Defendant's acts constitute a false representation and a false designation of origin, which likely have caused and are likely to cause confusion, mistake or deception, in violation of Section 43(a) of the United States Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).
- 24. Defendant's actions greatly and irreparably damage SWIMC, and will continue to damage SWIMC unless restrained by this Court; therefore, SWIMC is without an adequate remedy at law.

COUNT III

(TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT AND UNFAIR COMPETITION UNDER DELAWARE STATUTORY AND COMMON LAW)

- 25. SWIMC re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 17, and 19, as if fully set forth herein.
- 26. Defendant's acts constitute an unfair and deceptive business practice in violation of the Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act, 6 Del. C. § 2531 et. seq, and trademark infringement and unfair competition in violation of the common law of various states, including Delaware.
- 27. Defendant's acts greatly and irreparably damage SWIMC, and will continue to damage SWIMC unless restrained by this Court; therefore, SWIMC is without an adequate remedy at law.

COUNT IV

(FEDERAL TRADEMARK DILUTION)

- 28. SWIMC realleges Paragraphs 1 through 17, as though fully set forth herein.
- 29. Defendant's use of a DUTCHLAC is causing or is likely to cause dilution of the distinctive quality of SWIMC's strong and famous DUTCHBOY mark.
- 30. Defendant's aforesaid acts constitute trademark dilution in violation of Section 43(c) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).
- 31. Defendant's acts are greatly and irreparably damaging to SWIMC and will continue to damage SWIMC unless enjoined by this Court; therefore, SWIMC is without an adequate remedy at law.

COUNT V

(TRADEMARK DILUTION UNDER DELAWARE LAW)

- 32. SWIMC realleges Paragraphs 1 through 17, as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. Defendant's use of a DUTCHLAC is causing or is likely to cause dilution of the distinctive quality of SWIMC's strong and famous DUTCHBOY mark.
- 34. Defendant's aforesaid acts constitute trademark dilution in violation of the Delaware Trademark Act, 6 Del. C. § 3313.
- 35. Defendant's acts are greatly and irreparably damaging to SWIMC and will continue to damage SWIMC unless enjoined by this Court; therefore, SWIMC is without an adequate remedy at law.

WHEREFORE, SWIMC prays that:

- 1. Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, employees and attorneys, representatives, successors and assigns, and any and all persons acting by, through or under authority of defendant, be permanently enjoined and restrained from:
 - a. using the trademark DUTCHLAC, or any colorable imitation of SWIMC's DUTCHBOY marks, or any name or mark that is confusingly similar to DUTCHBOY;
 - b. doing any act or engaging in any conduct that is likely to dilute or diminish the value and goodwill owned by SWIMC in its DUTCHBOY marks; and
 - c. doing any other act or thing likely to induce the belief that defendants' business or products are in any way connected with SWIMC's business or products, or are sponsored approved by SWIMC's.

2. Defendant be required:

- a. to account for and pay over to SWIMC all gains, profits, enrichments, and advantages wrongfully derived by defendant through its trademark infringement, false designation of origin and unfair competition, including prejudgment interest, in accordance with 15 U.S.C. § 1117 (a) and the laws of the State of Delaware;
- b. to pay over to SWIMC the actual damages suffered by SWIMC through defendant's trademark infringement, false designation of origin and unfair competition, including prejudgment interest, in accordance with 15 U.S.C. § 1117 (a) and the laws of the State of Delaware;
- c. to pay to SWIMC three times the damages suffered by SWIMC by reason of intentional and unlawful acts of defendant, in accordance with 15 U.S.C. § 1117 (a);

- d. to pay to SWIMC punitive and exemplary damages for defendant's deliberate and willful trademark infringement, false designation of origin and unfair competition;
- e. to pay to SWIMC the costs of this action, including reasonable attorneys' fees, as provided in 15 U.S.C. § 1117;
- f. to abandon all trademark applications and registrations for marks containing or consisting of DUTCHLAC and any marks confusingly similar to the DUTCHBOY marks; and
- g. file with this Court and serve on counsel for SWIMC within thirty (30) days after entry of an injunction issued by this Court, a sworn written statement as provided in 15 U.S.C. § 1116.
- 3. Awarding SWIMC such further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

MONZACK and MONACO, P.A.

Kevin J. Mahgan (#3810) 1201 North Orange Street, Suite 400

Wilmington, DE 19801

(302) 656-8162

and

PATTISHALL, McAULIFFE, NEWBURY, HILLIARD & GERALDSON LLP Raymond I. Geraldson, Jr. Thad Chaloemtiarana Angela K. Steele 311 South Wacker Drive, Suite 5000 Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 554-8000

Counsel for SWIMC, INC.

\$JS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

194

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) **DEFENDANTS** I. (a) PLAINTIFFS FINE PAINTS OF EUROPE, INC. SWIMC, INC. (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff NEW CASTLE. DE County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) KEVIN J. MANGAN Attorneys (If Known) Charles E. Baxley, Esq., and MONZACK AND MONACO, 1201 N. Orange Street, James F. Baxley, Esq., hart, Baxley, Daniels & Holton, 90 John St., 3rd Fl., New York, NY 10038 Stite 400, Wilmington, DE 19801; 302 III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) an The Box for Defendant)

cipatralace

State Care (For Diversity Cases Only) XX3 Federal Question U.S. Government Incorporated or Principal lace **1** 4 Citizen of This State \Box 1 (U.S. Government Not a Party) Plaintiff 3... of Business In This State 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Anotifical State 5 \square 2 Citizen of Another State U.S. Government 4 Diversity Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) E E D 6 \Box 3 3 Foreign Nation Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country N NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) OTHER STATUTES

400 State Reapportionment BANKRUPTCY FORFEITURE/PENALTY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 610 Agriculture PERSONAL INJURY 🗇 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY 1 410 Antitrust 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 423 Withdrawal ☐ 362 Personal Injury -310 Airplane 120 Marine 430 Banks and Banking \Box 28 USC 157 ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 450 Commerce of Property 21 USC 881 Liability 365 Personal Injury -140 Negotiable Instrument 460 Deportation PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & 630 Liquor Laws Product Liability ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment 470 Racketeer Influenced and 368 Asbestos Personal 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 820 Copyrights Slander & Enforcement of Judgment Corrupt Organizations 2 830 Patent 2 840 Trademark Injury Product 650 Airline Regs. 330 Federal Employers' ☐ 151 Medicare Act 480 Consumer Credit 660 Occupational Liability ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 490 Cable/Sat TV PERSONAL PROPERTY Safety/Health Student Loans 340 Marine ☐ 810 Selective Service 690 Other ☐ 370 Other Fraud (Excl. Veterans) 345 Marine Product ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ LABOR SOCIAL SECURITY 371 Truth in Lending Liability ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment Exchange 710 Fair Labor Standards ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 875 Customer Challenge ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) Property Damage Act 355 Motor Vehicle ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits 12 USC 3410 ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 385 Property Damage 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 190 Other Contract Product Liability 890 Other Statutory Actions Product Liability 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability 360 Other Personal 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) & Disclosure Act ☐ 196 Franchise Injury FEDERAL TAX SUITS 892 Economic Stabilization Act CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS 740 Railway Labor Act REAL PROPERTY ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 893 Environmental Matters 790 Other Labor Litigation 210 Land Condemnation 441 Voting 510 Motions to Vacate 894 Energy Allocation Act or Defendant) 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. 442 Employment Sentence 220 Foreclosure ■ 895 Freedom of Information ☐ 871 IRS---Third Party Security Act Habeas Corpus: ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 443 Housing/ 26 USC 7609 Act 530 General Accommodations 240 Torts to Land ☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination 535 Death Penalty 245 Tort Product Liability 444 Welfare Under Equal Access 540 Mandamus & Other 445 Amer, w/Disabilities 290 All Other Real Property to Justice 550 Civil Rights Employment ☐ 950 Constitutionality of 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -555 Prison Condition State Statutes Other 440 Other Civil Rights Appeal to District Y. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) ☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Transferred from another district Judge from **□** 6 ☐ 2 Removed from **□** 3 Multidistrict Magistrate Remanded from Original Judgment Litigation Reopened (specify) Appellate Court Proceeding Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 15 U.S.C. Sections 1051, et seg. VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Complaint for Trademark Infringement, false designation of original contents of the Check YES only if demanded in complaint: DEMAND \$ VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION JURY DEMAND: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 Injunctive and montary damages COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): DOCKET NUMBER JUDGE IF ANY SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD DATE

JUDGE

APPLYING IFP

AMOUNT

RECEIPT#

MAG. JUDGE

ÅΩ	DOD M	0.5	RECEIPT	(DEM	0.004
$\Delta \mathbf{U}$	L.C.J.C.IAI	0.7	RECEIFT	IKEV.	7/1141

United States District Court for the District of Delaware

Civil Action No.	٠.	1,1	Í	0	<i>A</i>	

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF RECEIPT FOR AO FORM 85

NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE TO EXERCISE JURISDICTION

I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE REC	EIPT OF COPIES OF AO FORM 85.
3/23/06	Budo
(Date forms issued)	(Signature of Party or their Representative)
	Printed name of Party or their Representative)

Note: Completed receipt will be filed in the Civil Action